

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,856	07/13/2005	Siegfried Botsch		510.1115	5816
23280 DAVIDSON I	7590 10/10/200° DAVIDSON & KAPPE		EXAMINER		
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR				SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
NEW YORK, NY 10018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3683	
	. •				
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/518,856	BOTSCH, SIEGFRIED			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
٠.		Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 🤱	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛 (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
• —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			1 MW			
Attachmant/			(PTO-413) ate. Patent Application CHIEF PRIMARY EXAMINETER CHIEF PRIMAR			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application Children PRIMA			
. apci		-/ hand	V. \			

Application/Control Number: 10/518,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

Claim Objections

2. The term "brake <u>chamber</u>" is not clearly understood. From the drawings it would appear this element is a hub.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9,10,12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gebhardt et al. in view of Pollinger and Schwarz et al.

Regarding claims 9,10,12-16 Gebhardt et al.shows in the several embodiments two relatively movable elements 13and 14 used to secure the brake disc 5 to the hub 2,3. Note only one arrangement is shown but presumably there are two other similar arrangements that have not been shown (such as illustrated in Pollinger), thereby meeting the requirement of having "at least two pairs of bodies, with each pair including two bodies inserted into one another..."

Lacking is a description that these pairs can be secured into a hub-rotor arrangement via a casting process.

The reference to Schwarz et al. shows a similar securing arrangement to that of Pollinger. In column 2 lines 23-40 Schwarz et al. states a number of different options can be employed to secure the hub to the rotor, one of which includes molding the pins into the bearing part by a casting in process.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have cast the movable sleeve arrangement in Gebhardt et al. into the hub-rotor 2,5, as taught by Schwarz et al., as an obvious engineering alternative to that of Gebhardt et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please review the prior art cited by the examiner for the different alternatives that can be used to secure the rotor to the hub.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher P. Schwartz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

Cps 10/2/07

HRISTOPHER P. SCHWARTZ